CS4613 Lecture 2

David Bremner

January 2, 2025

Simplified Calculator Parser

If we choose an S-expression based surface syntax, we can simplify our parser.

```
parse
   (define (parse s)
     (local
          [(define (sx n) (list-ref (s-exp->list s) n))
          (define (px n) (parse (sx n)))
          (define (? pat) (s-exp-match? pat s))]
        (cond
          [(? `NUMBER) (num (s-exp->number s))]
         [(? `(+ ANY ANY)) (plus (px 1) (px 2))]
          [(? `(* ANY ANY)) (times (px 1) (px 2))]
          [else (error 'parse (to-string s))])))
```

```
Testing the new parser
```

How could the negative test be improved?

Connecting the parser and evaluator

```
(run : (S-Exp -> Number))
(define (run s)
    (calc (parse s)))
```

```
(test (run `{+ 1 {+ 2 3}}) 6)
(test (run `{* {+ 2 3} {+ 5 6}}) 55)
```

more convenient to write tests (and read them)

more layers to update for new features

Design Choices

Simple conditional

- (if test-ex then-ex else-ex)
 - test-ex is evaluated first, and if 'true' (whatever that means!) then-ex is evaluated, otherwise else-ex
 - Building block for e.g. short circuit evaluation, cond

What is truth?

- Trade off between convenience/conciseness and (bad) surprises.
- ▶ Defining a small set of "falsy" values is a reasonable option.
- With only numbers, we will define if0, with 0 as true

A SImPl Plan for a new feature

```
Extend datatype add constructor
Extend Evaluator new case for type-case
Extend Parser (if any)
```

```
(define-type Exp
[num (n : Number)]
[plus (left : Exp) (right : Exp)]
[times (left : Exp) (right : Exp)]
[cnd (test : Exp) (then : Exp) (else : Exp)])
```



A SImPl Plan for a new feature

```
Extend datatype add constructor
Extend Evaluator new case for type-case
Extend Parser (if any)
```

p. 41

```
Updated parser
```



```
if0
   (define (parse s)
     (local
         [(define (sx n) (list-ref (s-exp->list s) n))
          (define (px n) (parse (sx n)))
          (define (? pat) (s-exp-match? pat s))]
       (cond
         [(? `NUMBER) (num (s-exp->number s))]
         [(? `(+ ANY ANY)) (plus (px 1) (px 2))]
         [(? `(* ANY ANY)) (times (px 1) (px 2))]
         [(? `(ifO ANY ANY ANY))
                                                   :: NEW
          (cnd (px 1) (px 2) (px 3))]
         [else (error 'parse (to-string s))])))
```

Motivation for value type: adding Boolean



```
(define (calc e)
 (type-case Exp e
  [(num n) n]
  [(bool b) b]
  :))
```

- In a statically typed language like plait a function returns one type.
- Interpreters are often implemented in statically typed languages.





- 1. Also in most other, but not all statically typed languages.
- 2. Why do you think statically typed languages are a common choice for "infrastructure"?

Defining datatypes

Need to distinguish (unevaluated) expressions from values.

```
(define-type Exp
[numE (n : Number)]
[boolE (b : Boolean)]
[plusE (left : Exp) (right : Exp)]
[timesE (left : Exp) (right : Exp)]
[cndE (test : Exp) (then : Exp) (else : Exp)])
```

One constructor per (evaluated) type

```
(define-type Value
 [numV (the-number : Number)]
 [boolV (the-boolean : Boolean)])
```



Need to distinguish (unevaluated) expressions from values.

Defining datatypes

(define-type Exp [musE (n : Number)] [boolE (b : Boolean)] [plusE (left : Exp) (right : Exp)] [timesE (left : Exp) (right : Exp)] [cndE (teat : Exp) (then : Exp) (else : Exp)])

One constructor per (evaluated) type

(define-type Value
 [numV (the-number : Number)]
 [boolV (the-boolean : Boolean)])

1. Renaming of Exp constructors is optional, nothing would break if we kept the old names. On the other hand, we will see a few places where the distinction is important.

New return type for evaluator

p. 44

```
(calc : (Exp -> Value))
(define (calc e)
  (type-case Exp e
    [(numE n) (numV n)]
    [(boolE b) (boolV b)]
    :))
```

The following has multiple type issues. What are they? [(plusE l r) (+ (calc l) (calc r))]

What this problem needs is more indirection

```
(define (num-op op expr1 expr2)
 (local [(define (unwrap v)
                (type-case Value v
                  [(numV n) n]
                 [else (error 'num-op "NaN")]))]
 (numV (op (unwrap expr1)
                (unwrap expr2)))))
```

Now our arithmetic cases looks like

```
[(plusE l r) (num-op + (calc l) (calc r))]
[(timesE l r) (num-op * (calc l) (calc r))]
```



 \square What this problem needs is more indirection

What this problem needs is more indirection

Now our arithmetic cases looks like

[(plusE 1 r) (num-op + (calc 1) (calc r))] [(timesE 1 r) (num-op * (calc 1) (calc r))]

1. The book uses a simpler function add because there is only one arithmetic operation.

Updating conditional

We saw the question of what to consider as truthy is surprisingly complicated.

The book's version is strict:

```
(define (boolean-decision v)
 (type-case Value v
    [(boolV b) b]
    [else (error 'if "not a boolean")]))
```

p. 45



Updating conditional

Updating conditional

We saw the question of what to consider as truthy is surprisingly complicated p 45 [(cndE c t e) (if (boolean-decision (calc c)) (calc t) (calc e))] The book's version is strict: (define (hoolean-decision v) (type-case Value v [(boolV b) b] [else (error 'if 'not a boolean")]))

1. In functional programming, any time something seems complicated, the usual way to break into more tractable pieces is to define a function. If nothing else, the name of a function acts as documentation

Alternative conditional semantics



```
(define (boolean-decision v)
 (type-case Value v
  [(boolV b) b]
  [(numV n) (not (zero? n))]))
```

This is convenient, but what should we do when values can be functions?

E-Value-ator

```
calc
   (define (calc e)
     (type-case Exp e
       [(numE n) (numV n)]
       [(boolE b) (boolV b)]
       [(plusE | r) (num-op + (calc |) (calc r))]
       [(timesE l r) (num-op * (calc l) (calc r))]
       [(cndE c t e) (if (boolean-decision (calc c))
                          (calc t)
                          (calc e))))
   (test (calc (plusE (numE 3) (numE 4))) (numV 7))
   (test (calc (cndE (boolE #t) (numE 0) (numE 1)))
```

(numV 0))